AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

Construction Industry Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

CHARLES FERGUSON,

Claimant,

1087-16

v.

AAA Case No. 01-16-0003-3854

ROBERT CREMEN, III and

DAVINE RESTORATION & CONSTRUCTION LLC.

Respondents.

FINAL AWARD

I, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATOR, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into by the above-named parties and dated January 8, 2013, and having been duly sworn, and having fully reviewed and considered the written documents submitted to me and the evidence presented in this matter, in which the parties were accorded full opportunity to present their claims and defenses, do hereby, AWARD, as follows:

The AAA's Arbitration Construction Industry Arbitration Rules and Mediation Procedures, Fast Track Procedures, effective July 1, 2015 apply to this arbitration.

On January 8, 2013 Claimant Ferguson and Respondent Davine Restoration & Construction ("Davine") entered into a contract for the partial demolition and renovation construction of Claimant's house which had been damaged in a fire. The contract called for three equal payments of \$34,287.63 totaling \$102,862.90, with construction to be completed within 180 days from the "start date" *i.e.*, January 8, 2013. For whatever reason, after the first such payment, Davine stopped work sometime in or around March 2013. Claimant attempted to have Davine

OTTAINISEANTHASIIN NA MARKA

THE WAR

control of within as the Advistment of the section rikarin umr Alter the analysis and the about the business of the state of the stat Describing his probable this filips has invested by exceptions Taligram of the second completely be without the a definable residence business and of beingeric A NAT LES TON THE COMPANIES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY WAS AND THE PARTY OF THE PA accurate for curry the real transfer of in the second of the part of the second of t ne valentalista in talenta in the second of the second in the second in the second in the second in the second Morties: Williams for Statement with the state of the contraction the first transfer of the property of the first transfer of the contract of th the transfer of the second and the second and the light of the second of the second forms there is proposed second

resume work. On August 20, 2013 Claimant sent Davine a Cure Notice, demanding that Davine complete the project. The Arbitrator finds credible that Davine performed about half of the value of the first payment, i.e., \$17,143.81 in conformity with the contract. Claimant eventually sold the house at auction, filed suit in District Court in Prince George's County (disposition of which was not addressed by the parties at the hearing), and filed this arbitration on August 15, 2015.

As Arbitrator I therefore: (1) DENY Respondents' motion to dismiss the arbitration on grounds of limitations. I am persuaded that a Maryland court would likely find that Sec. 5-101 of the Courts Article would not apply to arbitration. Moreover, Claimant did not rest on his claim rights, having filed an action in the District Court. Nor did Davine establish prejudice by any lateness in Claimant's filing the arbitration. (2) GRANT Respondent Cremen's motion to dismiss the arbitration against him in his personal capacity. Cremen was not a party to the January 8, 2013 contract and Claimant offered no evidence establishing his liability. (3) DENY Claimant's claim for lost rent. This was not part of Claimant's claim. See Scheduling Order No. 1 para. 1.

AWARD

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above, I AWARD as follows:

- 1. Within thirty (30) days from the transmittal of this Final Award to the Parties, Respondent Davine shall pay Claimant Ferguson the sum of US \$17,143.81.
- 2. The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association, totaling US \$1,550.00, and the compensation of the Arbitrator, totaling US \$900.00, are to be borne equally by the parties. Therefore, Respondent Davine shall reimburse Claimant Ferguson the additional sum of \$775.00, representing said fees previously incurred by Claimant Ferguson.
- 3. This Final Award is, after full and complete consideration, in full and complete settlement of any and all claims which were submitted or which could have been submitted in

this arbitration, any and all claims between the parties not expressly granted herein are hereby DENIED.

I hereby certify that, for the purposes of Article 1 of the New York Convention of 1958, on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, this Final Award was made in Bethesda, Maryland.

Signature on File

Nov. 7, 2016

Date

William Karl Wilburn, Esq. Arbitrator

State of Maryland

County of Montgomery

SS:

I, William Karl Wilburn, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument, which

Signature on File

Nov 7 2016