		,
IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM	*	BEFORE ANN C. KEHINDE,
OF DONNA BENNETT,	*	AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
CLAIMANT	*	OF THE MARYLAND OFFICE
AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME	*	OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND	*	
FOR THE ALLEGED ACTS OR	*	
OMISSIONS OF GARY BOUTHNER,	*	OAH No.: LABOR-HIC-02-19-34192
t/a UNIQUE REMODELING,	*	MHIC No.: 18 (75) 979

RESPONDENT

PROPOSED DECISION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
ISSUES
SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE
PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
DISCUSSION
PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RECOMMENDED ORDER

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On May 22, 2019, Donna Bennett (Claimant) filed a claim (Claim), with the Maryland Home Improvement Commission (MHIC) Guaranty Fund (Fund). The Claim requested reimbursement of \$7,200.00 in actual losses allegedly suffered as a result of a home improvement contract with Gary James Bouthner, trading as Unique Remodeling (Respondent). Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401 through 8-411 (2015). On October 21, 2019, the MHIC forwarded the matter to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) for a hearing.

¹ The Claimant initially, or in an amended claim, also requested reimbursement for a generator installed by the Claimant; however, the MHIC informed the Claimant that a generator would not be a home improvement and advised her as to how to file her claim with the appropriate agency. The generator will not be discussed further in this Proposed Decision.

On June 18, 2020, the OAH sent the parties a Notice of Hearing (Notice), scheduling this matter for September 3, 2020 at the OAH, 11101 Gilroy Road, Hunt Valley, Maryland. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e).² The Notice advised the parties that failing to appear for the hearing might result in a dismissal of the case or a decision against the party who failed to appear. The notices were sent by certified mail and by first-class mail. The return receipt for the certified letter to the Claimant was signed and returned to the OAH but a return receipt for the certified letter to the Respondent was not signed and returned to the OAH. The notices sent by first-class mail were not returned by the United States Postal Service (USPS).

On August 31, 2020, I sent a letter to the parties to both their physical and email addresses. The letters were not returned by the USPS. The purpose of my letter was to advise the parties that I was converting the hearing from an in-person hearing to a remote hearing to be conducted by Google Meet. In the letter, I requested that the parties contact my assistant to advise receipt of the letter and to confirm their email addresses.

On September 3, 2020, I sent the Google Meet links by email to the parties. The Claimant appeared and represented herself. Justin S. Dunbar, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Labor (Department), represented the Fund. Gary Bouthner, Respondent, failed to appear and the hearing proceeded in his absence.³ The Claimant did not have access to a scanner

² The hearing was originally scheduled for March 19, 2020, and June 5, 2020, but postponed each time due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

³ As noted, the Notice was mailed to the Respondent at the address of record by regular and certified mail on June 18, 2020. Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 09.08.03.03A(2). Although the receipt for the copy of the Notice sent by certified mail was not returned to the OAH, the Notice was not returned to the OAH. Further, the letter I sent on August 31, 2020 was the same email address used by the Respondent in an earlier request for a postponement. The email did not "bounce back" as incapable of being delivered. Applicable law permits me to proceed with a hearing in a party's absence if that party fails to attend after receiving proper notice. COMAR 28.02.01.23A. Further, a contractor must notify the MHIC within ten days of any change in address. COMAR 09.08.01.11. As the OAH sent the notice to the Respondent's address of record, which the Respondent has the responsibility to update, I determined that the Respondent had received proper notice, and proceeded to hear the captioned matter. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-309.

Market Land Comparison Sylve and Sylven	
or 1880 to the fill the term is the season of a great statement of the properties in the contract of the season of	
ા ભારત છે. તેમ એ મુક્તાના ભાગભાષ્ટ્ર કરવા કોલ્મ ભાગભાષ્ટ્ર હતા હતા હોય હોય હોય હોય છે. આ બાલ નામ નામ	
ကြောင့် မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ အမြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင်းသည်။ မြောင မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ အမောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။ မောင်းသည်။	i .
는 이 기계 소개에 되었다. 현실 등에 생각하는 기계에 발표되었다. 현실 생각 회원 등록 생각 보고 생각하는 것이 되었다. 현실 이 기계 없는 기계 없는 것이 되었다. - 기계 60x096011 - 15x1 1994년 전설부터 급급보다 기계 교회 및 45x1 전 15x1 40g(전) 원급 (15x1 45) 기계 대학교 기계 전설 (15x1 45) 기계 등록 본	
ာလည်း အရေး ကရားရှိနှင့်များ အလေးသည် လည်းကျွင်းသွန်းများသည်။ ကရားရှိသည်။ မောင်းမြောင်းများသည်။	-j.
and a section is the proceeding in the last and in the contraction of the contract of the cont	•
ong Paga Pingong Pagang Kabupatèn Si Sanfiga atawa galahang mengulah dalah galawakan dan panahan dalah dalah b	-115.
of the september of the specific of the september of the	i.
Appendict of the second of the properties of the Children and the second of the Children and the second of the second	131111
The second of	g. 15.
The same of the first of the fi	
ार के किस के के के के के के किस के किस के किस के के किस के के किस के	
ा स्टेडिंग क्रिंग संबंधित के प्रतिकार के क्रिकेट का क्रिकेट के क्रिकेट के क्रिकेट के क्रिकेट के क्रिकेट के क्र जन्म	
Communication of the Commission of the Commissio	
. The secretary grade is the formal section for the production for the local section in the contract of the co	
Addien is the first executarity can be discharged to the transfer they proposed before twee in provide	
A to will be the company to war in process of the benefit of the particular process of the particular to the particular process of the particular particular process of the particular part	
Aleksan en angele fan en kommer foar der Eljan fûnde fer twam familier filligter	
े कि कि के किस्ता कि कर मिर्ट किसेक अपने का कि कार्य को किस का _{स्मा} र के कि कार्य के किस के कि के	
	•
The profession of the profession of the desired that the profession of the desired	r.
्या प्रकार करों है एक मुस्ता करने हैं कि है। अने हैं कि है किया होते कि के कि कि कि कि है है कि का समर्थित पर क	
ार रहार ४४) के राज्य के कि है	
Control of the state of the sta	n Ner
	1.5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

On the said the contract of the same of the same of the same of the contract of the same o

and the record was left open until September 10, 2020, for her to submit her two exhibits. The Claimant timely submitted her two exhibits and the record closed on September 10, 2020.

The contested case provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department's hearing regulations, and the Rules of Procedure of the OAH govern procedure in this case. Md. Code Ann., State Gov't §§ 10-201 through 10-226 (2014 & Supp. 2020); Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 09.01.03; COMAR 28.02.01.

<u>ISSUES</u>

- 1. Did the Claimant sustain an actual loss compensable by the Fund as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions?
 - 2. If so, what is the amount of the compensable loss?

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Exhibits

I admitted the following exhibits on the Claimant's behalf:

- Cl #1 Contract between Claimant and Respondent, dated August 30, 2016
- Cl #2 Home Improvement Retail Installment Contract between Claimant and BGE Home Products and Services, LLC, dated May 20, 2019

I admitted the following exhibits on behalf of the Fund:

- GF #1 Notice of Rescheduled Hearing, dated June 18, 2020
- GF #2 Hearing Order, dated October 17, 2019
- GF #3 Letter from MHIC to Respondent, dated June 5, 2019
- GF #4 Respondent's Licensing History

The Respondent did not appear.

Testimony

The Claimant testified. The Fund did not present any witnesses. The Respondent did not appear to present witnesses.

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT

I find the following facts by a preponderance of the evidence:

- 1. At all times relevant to the subject of this hearing, the Respondent was a licensed home improvement contractor with MHIC.
- 2. On or about August 30, 2016, the Claimant contracted with the Respondent to replace sixteen double-hung windows and one picture window in her house in Essex, Maryland (property).
- 3. The Claimant paid the Respondent \$2,000.00 cash when she signed the contract. The total contract price was \$7,200.00.
- 4. The Respondent installed the windows over a four to five-day period and the Claimant paid the contract in full by cash on September 3, 2016.
- 5. As the weather became cooler, the Claimant tried to close the windows and discovered that they would not stay closed. The Claimant contacted the Respondent and told him the windows would not close and stay closed. The Respondent agreed to come back to the Claimant's house and fix the windows. The Claimant took off from work and waited at home for the Respondent to come but he did not come and did not contact her.
- 6. On May 20, 2019, a contractor with BGE Home Products & Services, LLC, visited the Claimant's house and examined her windows. The windows installed by the Respondent were not measured properly and would therefore not close and stay closed properly.
- 7. On May 20, 2019, the Claimant entered into a home improvement retail installment contract with BGE Home Products & Services, LLC, to replace the sixteen double-hung and one picture window installed by the Respondent at the Claimant's property. The total cost of the contract was \$9,465.00.

atromações que en el propieto de apropera en entra en entra de la como procesa en entra en entra en esta en est And the property of the Charlest and the above the second property of the prop क्षेत्र विरोज्यस्य क्रिक्के सुरम् अवदेशसाक्ष्य विषे अन्यक्षेत्र कर्मा क्षेत्रस्य विरोधित । अवस्थित विषय अवदेश विराधित विराधित विरोधित । ngayayaya girahdaag kaadha qaar kaalka ayaa ahaayada ka gaa waxaana baayada ya to the Control of the रेक्ट कुर्दर्शन के द्राराव के उत्तरकार क्षार्यकार है। यह अन्यत्य कर्यों, कुन्नार और augent क्षार्य an anganja ya mengangigingan setuka ada gapangik tanayak balangi yena menghangi a miwa negarana gay property, contacting the old of the party of the service . The first process recognizes being because the -चन्द्रक्र**र**क वर्ष हो। । हेराको क्रेड्सिव अर्था क्रान्ति । व्यानकर्ष । प्रिक्त प्रांतिक प्रकार । व्यान प्राप्त प्राप्त क्रान्ति । The continue of the same of the Cartille of the continue of th all the property of the contract of the contra ्रोक्रम हार्गाम के **म**ार के के में पूर्व के अधिकार के लिए है है है है जा कि कार्य के महिला है के कि महिला है है है prominental prominents of the state of the s पुरेस एक्स्पानक के जनक स्वीमा कहा है है। इस हिन्दी के समान मुद्रा परिष्णा है। estipaga area transpropolati karakemen arasa transa kanpiranja perpada oligikan prada ji sa The control of the co general military and many section for the state of the st o filosocial de aplicações entra de compresa, para de filosocial de compresa de como entra de como entra de co ्र प्राप्त दृष्ट् हुन्य न्यूनाहें व्रह्महरू है । विक्रिकेट विकास के हुनेशक न्यूनहरू of Parties designed

representative proprieta program program del program el program program de del program de la programa de la pro

अभवताम १५ । अभिन्युक्ति

8. As of July 10, 2020, the Claimant paid the contract with BGE Home Products & Services, LLC, for the replacement windows in full.

DISCUSSION

In this case, the Claimant has the burden of proving the validity of the Claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-407(e)(1) (2015);⁴ Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 10-217 (2014); COMAR 09.08.03.03A(3). "[A] preponderance of the evidence means such evidence which, when considered and compared with the evidence opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces . . . a belief that it is more likely true than not true." Coleman v. Anne Arundel Cty. Police Dep't, 369 Md. 108, 125 n.16 (2002) (quoting Maryland Pattern Jury Instructions 1:7 (3d ed. 2000)).

An owner may recover compensation from the Fund "for an actual loss that results from an act or omission by a licensed contractor." Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(a); see also COMAR 09.08.03.03B(2) ("actual losses . . . incurred as a result of misconduct by a licensed contractor"). "[A]ctual loss' means the costs of restoration, repair, replacement, or completion that arise from an unworkmanlike, inadequate, or incomplete home improvement." Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-401.

The Claimant provided overwhelming and uncontroverted evidence that the Respondent's work was unworkmanlike, inadequate and incomplete. Windows that do not close completely and stay closed are an unworkmanlike home improvement because they do not serve their intended purpose of keeping the natural elements out of the house and they are a way for intruders to easily enter one's home.

⁴ Unless otherwise noted, all references to the Business Regulation Article herein cite the 2015 Replacement Volume of the Maryland Annotated Code.

se production in the conference of the conferenc

to the color of the control of the control of the control of the control of the color of the control of the con

ALL COLLEGE DE COLLEGE

All the state of t

at any fight with the set of the passed distinct of the analysis executions of the

กระกับสิ่งสาราจิที่การเป็นได้อยู่สารากการเป็นการคระการสาราช เป็นเป็นเกอร์บริหารและได้เลือนใหญ่เลือนเกอร์บริการเกรียก

Having found eligibility for compensation I must determine the amount of the Claimant's actual loss and the amount, if any, that the Claimant is entitled to recover. The Fund may not compensate a claimant for consequential or punitive damages, personal injury, attorney fees, court costs, or interest. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(3); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(1). MHIC's regulations provide three formulas to measure a claimant's actual loss, depending on the status of the contract work.

In this case, the Respondent performed work under the contract, and the Claimant has paid another contractor to remedy the Respondent's unworkmanlike, inadequate, and incomplete work. Accordingly, the following formula appropriately measures the Claimant's actual loss:

If the contractor did work according to the contract and the claimant has solicited or is soliciting another contractor to complete the contract, the claimant's actual loss shall be the amounts the claimant has paid to or on behalf of the contractor under the original contract, added to any reasonable amounts the claimant has paid or will be required to pay another contractor to repair poor work done by the original contractor under the original contract and complete the original contract, less the original contract price. If the Commission determines that the original contract price is too unrealistically low or high to provide a proper basis for measuring actual loss, the Commission may adjust its measurement accordingly.

COMAR 09.08.03.03B(3)(c).

Applying the formula in this case results in the following calculation:

Amount Claimant paid to Respondent:	\$7,200.00
Amount Claimant paid to another contractor to repair:	\$9,465.00
Less the contract price:	\$7,200.00

Claimant's actual loss: \$9,465.00

The Business Regulation Article caps a claimant's recovery at \$20,000.00 for acts or omissions of one contractor and provides that a claimant may not recover more than the amount paid to the contractor against whom the claim is filed. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(1), (5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4), D(2)(a). In this case, the Claimant's actual loss of \$9,465.00

The first of the first of the second of the	t leifelijk broek gewood
and the formal state of the first first first the small still be to the second	e Britangle jab len len bin mengaj
	n de la faction de la company
	and it was an interest that the contains in large
ាលព្រះមន្ត្រី ដែល សមា រូបបាន បី ១ ១០ ប្រុស្យានមេរីល ១ ព្រះបាមមាន ស្មាន្ត្រី ១០ ខាន	क्षक क्षेत्रं राज्य कृष्य कृष्यकात्र । हर्षे स्टेसिंग
	iling supper the enter
na kandar kandari da kandar kandar kandar kandar bida ya dibaka birgan sa sena sa Kandar kandari kandar bida kandar	
Takajig sapranji kulasuri i era givari senci pe <mark>rnejava</mark> ka j i izvoliji en ng Kolate.	temporary the more than section, a fine
the self-lighted by the complete articles and the self-light of th	na mata an ann an a
ละเกียงแก้ และ การการการคลั้ง โดยเลของ ที่ กำกับการการการคลายที่ ก็ ได้ การการสะบาทยา สู่ของแก้ จาก ๆ ผู้สำรับและ คลาย คลายที่ และ การการการการการการการการการการการการการก	
The control of the co	The spin rule of the rule of t
and the second of the second o	
THE CASE THAT IS NOT THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	ent & South Control of
n de la companya de La companya de la co	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	proving a Community of A
en en agrando está estada en orden en la relación de la fina de la fina de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta del la compacta de la compacta del la compacta de la	es en la rimanda des la reculiaçõe.
	feligibilita ya paga feligibili in aliji
on a longiture (estatua estatua	

exceeds the amount she paid the Respondent (\$7,200.00). Therefore, the Claimant's recovery is limited to \$7,200.00. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-405(e)(5); COMAR 09.08.03.03B(4).

PROPOSED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I conclude that the Claimant proved that she sustained an actual and compensable loss as a result of the Respondent's acts or omissions. Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-401, 8-405 (2015). I further conclude that the Claimant is entitled to recover \$7,200.00 from the Fund.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

I RECOMMEND that the Maryland Home Improvement Commission:

ORDER that the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund award the Claimant \$7,200.00; and

ORDER that the Respondent is ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement

Commission license until the Respondent reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order, plus annual interest of ten percent (10%) as set by the Maryland Home

Improvement Commission;⁵ and

ORDER that the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission reflect this decision.

November 25, 2020
Date Decision Issued

CONFIDENTIAL
Ann C. Kehinde
Administrative Law Judge

ACK/dlm #189107

⁵ See Md. Code Ann., Bus. Reg. § 8-410(a)(1)(iii) (2015); COMAR 09.08.01.20.

क्षेत्र ्ष्र ्यका अ धिकः अभिकास	્રાંકિલ્દા 🖟 🛊	9.301(4-).	it objet	्राम्पर्वे दृष्टा और स्वर्ग स्वर्ग र	sandamen as de Busco	14
i jager Bagsarskij hør			en en tra			٠.
i Taku tépul Tijangang janggalawa High Taku	The section is	tes things.	-Aulings	មកវិធីស្រែប៉ុន្តែ នេះ	e (1 <mark>927 f</mark> eet (20) h	
		uDalar .e		e vista e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	nggar éis le seil	6.
	िने प हलेगर, १८		i ingsetti	edia. Golfge	ipprovincity and	
	1 13/3/2000 1 13/3/2000	क्षात्री जात्कीत	ណ្ឌមម៌ សន្តិត -	n systet	5 PP (07, 11	
State of the section of		i jumenali a j	ale see the	and said of		
en e			etwi ^r slije	la cuas a sub-	edikir do	
liky piada a gana ta sikiby,		f. organije			rodulusii kuleetaan.	. I
e david jake 64	r rijuus sejin	HO proper	g men ing jaw		nig i ne operation	13.
				11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	i Bayaran da mak	et et
ingine and per series	Ducking to	bir je veriji	ស្វើម៉ូបក្រ		na spiritero i .	
				atija suis da	gradiga ngariniga	
)					
and the second s	4 N. 1. 149 G.V. V.					<u>_</u> .
						3. i.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
i .						

CONTRACTOR OF STREET

<u>PROPOSED ORDER</u>

WHEREFORE, this 8th day of March, 2021, Panel B of the Maryland

Home Improvement Commission approves the Recommended Order of the

Administrative Law Judge and unless any parties files with the Commission

within twenty (20) days of this date written exceptions and/or a request to present

arguments, then this Proposed Order will become final at the end of the twenty

(20) day period. By law the parties then have an additional thirty (30) day period

during which they may file an appeal to Circuit Court.

I Jean White

I Jean White Panel B MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

	au. Ele			
And the state of t	e Profesional de Santa de Carte			
•		ara amponingga kalendar Arabamponingga kalendar	· ·	
we to saw!	tation in a reliable to		The state of	
			1	
	odi makibili	napámbo an		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

IN THE MATTER OF THE CLAIM OF DONNA BENNETT AGAINST THE MARYLAND HOME IMPROVEMENT GUARANTY FUND FOR THE ACTS OR OMISSIONS OF GARY BOUTHNER T/A UNIQUE REMODELING MARYLAND HOME

* IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

*

* MHIC CASE NO. 18(75)979

* OAH CASE NO. LABOR-HIC-

* 02-19-34192

*

FINAL ORDER

This matter was originally heard before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") on September 3, 2020. Following the evidentiary hearing, the ALJ issued a Proposed Decision on November 25, 2020 concluding that the homeowner, Donna Bennett ("Claimant") suffered an actual loss they suffered as a result of the acts or omissions of Gary Bouthner t/a Unique Remodeling ("Contractor"). *ALJ Proposed Decision* pp. 6-7. In a Proposed Order dated March 8, 2021, the Maryland Home Improvement Commission ("MHIC" or "Commission") affirmed the Proposed Decision of the ALJ to grant an award from the Home Improvement Guaranty Fund. The Claimant subsequently filed exceptions to the MHIC Proposed Order.

On May 6, 2021, a three-member panel ("Panel") of the MHIC held a remote hearing on the exceptions filed in this matter. The Claimant and Contractor participated without counsel. Assistant Attorney General Hope Sachs appeared at the exceptions hearing on behalf of the Guaranty Fund. The Commission entered the following preliminary exhibits as part of the record of the exceptions hearing without objection: 1) hearing notice; 2) transmittal letter, ALJ Proposed Decision, and MHIC Proposed Order; and 3) Contractor's exceptions. Neither the Claimant nor the Contractor produced a copy of the transcript of the hearing before the ALJ. Therefore, the Panel's review of the record was limited to the preliminary exhibits for the exceptions hearing, the OAH Proposed Decision, and the exhibits admitted as evidence at the OAH hearing. COMAR

. - 선택회 등유학생님 전체 기계하게 되었다. The end of the content of the conten

Frequency of the second of the file of the second of the s

09.01.03.09(G) - (I).

The claim in this proceeding relates to a contract between the parties for the installation of replacement windows at the Claimant's home. The ALJ found that the Contractor's performance under the contract was unworkmanlike. *ALJ's Proposed Decision* p. 5.

On exception, the Contractor stated that he did not attend the OAH hearing because of a medical issue and requested that he be allowed to present evidence at another hearing.

The Commission finds that the Contractor received proper notice of the hearing and that there is no evidence that the Contractor requested a postponement of the September 3, 2020 OAH hearing. Therefore, the Commission holds that the Contractor is not entitled to a new hearing.

Having considered the parties' arguments, the evidence contained in the record, and the ALJ's Recommended Decision, it is this 14th day of May 2020, **ORDERED**:

- A. That the Findings of Fact of the Administrative Law Judge are AFFIRMED;
- B. That the Conclusions of Law of the Administrative Law Judge are AFFIRMED;
- C. That the Proposed Decision and Recommended Order of the Administrative Law Judge is **AFFIRMED**;
- D. That the Claimant is awarded \$7,200.00 from the Maryland Home Improvement Guaranty Fund;
- E. That the Contractor shall remain ineligible for a Maryland Home Improvement Commission license until the Contractor reimburses the Guaranty Fund for all monies disbursed under this Order plus annual interest of at least ten percent (10%) as set by the Commission, Md Code Ann., Bus. Reg. §§ 8-410(a)(1)(iii), 8-411(a);
- F. That the records and publications of the Maryland Home Improvement Commission shall reflect this decision; and

oració planero de plenera de la companya de la comp To the production of the control of the financial Lieuterian for the last production and deprivate processing a The tribute of Tanggreet William and the configuration of the configurat Por land can in 1900 who where we like an temperature of the entire of the contract of the con igramate i unitoria la considera di comi un constatti cal artical fietri i municipiati (primen Stantonsk med gade och her och og redmanskad baret propagation. I bli det gan i de kall ar och av statt b Alay talika di maka ay pelantam indin puncul wapa berang ay metada na anci diya mpada sakan alama. agada nga nila a kandan tanig, nagafasil mitamil minging nilamikik ada nilamiki. radio del como de la cidade a mello de coma come a la come de la come de la come de la come de come de la come della come de la come united to the first of the following to the first of the first position of the first positions of the first interest of the first positions of the first interest of the first position of the first p Control of the responsible well without the body will be the control of the contr The first transfer of the company of the state of the sta al remain angue it magasit magasit paining sidell is bahan na bay itan dakkang ka sa di sikapang 环心性对抗规律的 viris and lunguarian at the first party and an first of the traverse side on the first of

r denne mengen den med hade nomå de nod det sind gå det den den den den greg bede til den sen greg bede til de referen lin mil de no gedenhad som etkenhad til denne med sind och en etke delevation de 200 dengad for de nod och en och en ette de å de de skrivere krivet kanone med sind Och mår med et en en gåd.

A Lie and Carried and a second and the control of t

G. Any party has thirty (30) days from the date of this Final Order to appeal this decision to Circuit Court.

Joseph Tunney
Chairperson -Panel
Maryland Home Improvement
Commission

	in the state of th	redress constraint	To only sittlement of	The second of the second
				the strength of
	。 "快报。"	st Iraniya.		
	ఆనోడున కేస్తులు గల్లేత గారకర్వణం ఆత్రిక్స్			
	កស់ស្ពេច សុស្តិក ក	orugististiki Kingarilari		
	±1.			
			e e	
			i filma A filma	
	•			
				N
			•	
	· ·			
	1			
	•			
en e				
	· · ·			
	· .		·	