Maryland

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC

AND EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT

William Donald Schaefer, Governor J. Randall Evans, Secretary

1100 North Eutaw Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 333-5033

- DECISION-

Decision No.:

49-BR-89

Date:

January 19, 1989

Claimant: John Zebron

Appeal No.:

8811211

S. S. No .:

Employer:

BOARD OF APPEALS

Thomas W. Keech, Chairman Hazel A. Warnick, Associate Member Donna P. Watts, Associate Member

L O. No.:

2

Appellant:

CLAIMANT

Issue:

Whether the claimant failed, without good cause, to apply for or to accept available, suitable work within the meaning of Section 6(d) of the law.

## - NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

YOU MAY FILE AN APPEAL FROM THIS DECISION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAWS OF MARYLAND. THE APPEAL MAY BE TAKEN IN PERSON OR THROUGH AN ATTORNEY IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, IF YOU RESIDE IN BALTIMORE CITY, OR THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE COUNTY IN MARYLAND IN WHICH YOU RESIDE.

February 18, 1989

THE PERIOD FOR FILING AN APPEAL EXPIRES AT MIDNIGHT ON

## -APPEARANCES-

FOR THE CLAIMANT:

FOR THE EMPLOYER:

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

Upon review of the record in this case, the Board of Appeals agrees with the decision of the Hearing Examiner but disagrees with a portion of the reasoning of the Hearing Examiner. The

Board concludes that the Hearing Examiner misapplied the <a href="Barley">Barley</a> case by reading into it a conclusion that the Hearing <a href="Examiner could">Examiner could</a> not independently review 'a decision of the job service that a job is suitable. The Board concludes that the Hearing Examiner does have the authority and responsibility to decide the issue of suitability. The Board also concludes, however, that the job was suitable, under all of the circumstances. The Board concludes, therefore, that the final decision was correct and the penalty applied was appropriate.

## DECISION

The claimant failed, without good cause, to apply for available, suitable work within the meaning of Section 6(d) of the Maryland Unemployment Insurance Law. He is disqualified from receiving benefits from the week beginning September 18, 1988 and until he becomes reemployed, earns at least ten times his weekly benefit amount, and thereafter becomes unemployed through no fault of his own.

The decision of the Hearing Examiner is affirmed.

Chairman

Associate Member

K:DW kbm COPIES MAILED TO:

CLAIMANT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - GLEN BURNIE